Analysis of Feedback on M.Sc. Marine Biologycourse (April 2017)

Feedback of Teachers- 9


Sl.	Particulars	Need	Good	Very Good
No.		Improvement	Good	very Good
1	How do you rate the curriculum structure in general?		3	6
2	How do you rate the syllabus in terms of balance between theory and application?	1	4	4
3	How do you rate the sequencing of the courses in the programme?		4	5
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in class 100%; 80 to100%; 60 to80%; less than 60%		2	7
5	To what extent the course objectives were achieved at the end of the semester?		1	8
6	How do you rate the library in terms of having sufficient material for teachers			9
7	Rate to what extent the courses you teach are intellectually stimulating to you?		3	6
8	Rate your freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus?		4	5
9	How do you rate the course content in terms of the competencies expected by the industry?	1	2	5
10	How did the curriculum design focus on employability?	2	5	2
11	How do you rate the quality and adequacy of the lab sessions?		1	. 8

Suggestions: Requires more career oriented courses and more practical sessions in the syllabus.


Need to implement software trainings as a part of the course for the understanding and better analysis of biological data

PRE ARTS ARTHER EDITIONAL ASSOCIATION & ASSO





Very Good





1

HEAD
Dept. of Marine Biology, Microbiology & Biochemistry

Analysis of Feedback on M.Sc. Marine Biology course (April 2018)

Feedback of Teachers- 8


Sl.	Particulars	Need	<u> </u>	Very	
No.		Improvement	Good	Good	
1	How do you rate the curriculum structure in general?		3	5	
2	How do you rate the syllabus in terms of balance between theory and application?	1	5	2	
3	How do you rate the sequencing of the courses in the programme?		4	4	
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in class 100%; 80 to100%; 60 to80%; less than 60%		2	6	
5	To what extent the course objectives were achieved at the end of the semester?			8	
6	How do you rate the library in terms of having sufficient material for teachers			8	
7	Rate to what extent the courses you teach are intellectually stimulating to you?	1	3	4	
8	Rate your freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus?		3	5	
9	How do you rate the course content in terms of the competencies expected by the industry?	2	3	3	
10	How did the curriculum design focus on employability?		2	6	
11	How do you rate the quality and adequacy of the lab sessions?		8		


Suggestions: Requires more career oriented courses and more practical sessions in the syllabus.

PINE ARIS AVENUE ERNAKULAM COCHIN-682 016

AMAZICA MANUAL AND COCHIN-682 016

AMAZICA








Analysis of Feedback on M.Sc. Marine Biology course (April 2019)


Feedback of Teachers-7

Sl.	Particulars	Need		
No.		Improvement	Good	Very Good
1	How do you rate the curriculum structure in general?	1	4	2
2	How do you rate the syllabus in terms of balance between theory and application?		3	4
3	How do you rate the sequencing of the courses in the programme?		2	5
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in class 100%; 80 to100%; 60 to80%; less than 60%			7
5	To what extent the course objectives were achieved at the end of the semester?			7
6	How do you rate the library in terms of having sufficient material for teachers			7
7	Rate to what extent the courses you teach are intellectually stimulating to you?	1	3	3
8	Rate your freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus?		4	3
9	How do you rate the course content in terms of the competencies expected by the industry?		7	
10	How did the curriculum design focus on employability?	3		4
11	How do you rate the quality and adequacy of the lab sessions?		7	

Suggestions: Better lab facilities are needed in the campus for facilitating proper and effective practical sessions

BOOK, MICHODIO JOS BROWN BROWN







1

NEAD .

HEAD

Dept. of Marine Biology, Microbiology & Biochemistry

Analysis of Feedback on M.Sc. Marine Biology course (April 2020)


Feedback of Teachers- 7


SI.	Particulars	Need				
No.	4	Improvement	Good	Very Good		
1	How do you rate the curriculum structure in general?	provense	4	3		
2	How do you rate the syllabus in terms of balance between theory and application?	2	3	2		
3	How do you rate the sequencing of the courses in the programme?		1	6		
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in class 100%; 80 to100%; 60 to80%; less than 60%		2	5		
5	To what extent the course objectives were achieved at the end of the semester?			7		
6	How do you rate the library in terms of having sufficient material for teachers			7		
7	Rate to what extent the courses you teach are intellectually stimulating to you?		1	6		
8	Rate your freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus?	1	3	3		
9	How do you rate the course content in terms of the competencies expected by the industry?	2		5		
10	How did the curriculum design focus on employability?		4	3		
11	How do you rate the quality and adequacy of the lab sessions?	2	5			
Sugg	Suggestions: More career oriented course and better practical sessions required					

PHE ARTS AFFINE COORN-622 018

A 1900 A 1900

120







4

HEAD
Dept. of Marine Biology, Microbiology & Biochemistry