

FEEDBACK FORM
FOR DESIGN AND REVIEW OF SYLLABUS
QUESTIONNAIRE FOR ALUMINI

DEPARTMENT OF MARINE GEOLOGY AND GEOPHYSICS

This feedback process is part of the Quality Improvement Initiative at CUSAT and is intended to solicit your views on the course offered in the department. You will be asked for your feedback about how it was beneficial to you in your career. We solemnly declare that any piece of given information will never be used other than that stated above without your concern. Looking forward to your cooperation. Thank you and best wishes.

Name of the Alumni : *Rahel Ramachandran.*

Present position and address: *Geophysicist, ONGC*

Name of the Programme attended: *MSc Marine Geophysics.*

Year of Study : *2012-2014*

Rate the sufficiency of the courses offered to meet the requirements of the industry

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

Rate the skills you acquired during the course to face the industry requirements

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

Rate the usefulness of the programme in your professional growth

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

Rate the adequacy of the laboratory sessions for practical exposure

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

Rate the quality of electives offered in line with the advancements in the field

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

Rate the personality development achieved during the programme

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

Courses you will recommend to be added to the curriculum *Seismic Field work.*

Skills the students should develop during the programme *coding skills*

Will you recommend your relatives/friends to enrol in this programme *Yes.*

Signature of the Alumni : *Rahel*

FEEDBACK FORM
FOR DESIGN AND REVIEW OF SYLLABUS
QUESTIONNAIRES FOR EMPLOYERS
DEPARTMENT OF MARINE GEOLOGY AND GEOPHYSICS

Dear Employers, We are thankful to you for providing them employment with your esteemed Company/Organization. We shall very much appreciate and be grateful to you if you can spare some of your valuable time to fill up this feedback form. Please answer these questions with respect to employees recruited from our department

Your Name *General Manager*

Designation

Organisation Name *ONGC, Chennai*

How is the his/her general communication skills?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How good is the employee in developing practical solutions to work place problems?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How well is the employee working as part of a team?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How is his/her creativity in response to workplace challenges?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How is his/her planning and organization skills?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

To what extent he/she is self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

Is he/she open to new ideas and learning new techniques?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How would you rate the employee on using technology and workplace equipment?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How would you rate his/her ability to contribute to the goal of the organization?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How would you rate his/her technical knowledge/skill?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How would you rate his/her ability to manage/leadership qualities?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How satisfied you are about his/her relationship with colleagues/seniors/peers/subordinates?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How would you rate his/her ability to take up extra responsibility?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How would you rate his/her obligation to work beyond schedule if required?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How do you rate your overall satisfaction with our students and the curriculum?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How could our programs be improved? What specific comments do you have regarding the curriculum?

FEEDBACK FORM
FOR DESIGN AND REVIEW OF SYLLABUS
QUESTIONNAIRES FOR PARENTS

DEPARTMENT OF MARINE GEOLOGY AND GEOPHYSICS

This feedback process is part of the Quality Improvement Initiative at CUSAT and is intended to solicit your views on the course offered in the department. You will be asked for your feedback about how it was beneficial to your ward. We solemnly declare that any piece of given information will never be used other than that stated above without your concern. Looking forward to your cooperation. Thank you and best wishes.

Your Name: SURESAN

Name of the Programme attended by your ward: MARINE GEOPHYSICS

Year of passing out: 2022

How useful was the content of the curriculum for your ward to acquire sufficient knowledge and to secure a subject related job?

5 VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How was the change in your ward after joining in the university?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

To what extent the curriculum improved employability of your ward?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How do you rate the quality of teaching in the department?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How do you evaluate the quality of the evaluation process in the department?

5 VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

Rate the usefulness of the programme in professional growth of your ward

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How well did the syllabus create interest to your ward to pursue research in a particular topic?

5 VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

Will you recommend your relatives/friends to enrol their wards in this programme? YES / NO

Any suggestions to improve the curriculum currently offered by the department:

FEEDBACK FORM
FOR DESIGN AND REVIEW OF SYLLABUS
QUESTIONNAIRES FOR PARENTS

DEPARTMENT OF MARINE GEOLOGY AND GEOPHYSICS

This feedback process is part of the Quality Improvement Initiative at CUSAT and is intended to solicit your views on the course offered in the department. You will be asked for your feedback about how it was beneficial to your ward. We solemnly declare that any piece of given information will never be used other than that stated above without your concern. Looking forward to your cooperation. Thank you and best wishes.

Your Name: Radhakrishnan

Name of the Programme attended by your ward: M.Sc. Marine Geology

Year of passing out: 2022

How useful was the content of the curriculum for your ward to acquire sufficient knowledge and to secure a subject related job?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD ✓ 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How was the change in your ward after joining in the university?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD ✓ 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

To what extent the curriculum improved employability of your ward?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD ✓ 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How do you rate the quality of teaching in the department?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD ✓ 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How do you evaluate the quality of the evaluation process in the department?

5. VERY GOOD ✓ 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

Rate the usefulness of the programme in professional growth of your ward

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD ✓ 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How well did the syllabus create interest to your ward to pursue research in a particular topic?

5. VERY GOOD ✓ 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

Will you recommend your relatives/friends to enrol their wards in this programme? YES / NO

Any suggestions to improve the curriculum currently offered by the department:

Due to the current situation of Covid-19, students are attending the online class, and hence they are not able to get hands on experience related to the subject, which is unavoidable in this subject.

FEEDBACK FORM
FOR DESIGN AND REVIEW OF SYLLABUS
QUESTIONNAIRES FOR STUDENTS

DEPARTMENT OF MARINE GEOLOGY AND GEOPHYSICS

Name of the Programme: *Marine Geology*

Year of Study: *2021*

Please indicate how challenging the course content was

5. VERY GOOD GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How do you rate the course content in terms of the competencies expected by the industry?

VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How well did the curriculum design focus on employability?

5. VERY GOOD GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How well did the syllabus create interest in you to pursue research in a particular topic?

5. VERY GOOD GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How do you rate the programme with respect to developing your personality?

VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How do you rate the availability of electives in line with your interest?

5. VERY GOOD GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How was the coverage of the syllabus in class?

5. VERY GOOD GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How do you rate the adequacy of the library materials for the course?

VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How do you rate the quality and adequacy of the lab sessions?

5. VERY GOOD GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How good was the student participation in class?

5. VERY GOOD GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

Your suggestions to improve the curriculum

Improve

FEEDBACK FORM
FOR DESIGN AND REVIEW OF SYLLABUS
QUESTIONNAIRES FOR STUDENTS

DEPARTMENT OF MARINE GEOLOGY AND GEOPHYSICS

Name of the Programme: *Msc Marine Geophysics*

Year of Study: *2021*

Please indicate how challenging the course content was

5. VERY GOOD *4*GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How do you rate the course content in terms of the competencies expected by the industry?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD *3*AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How well did the curriculum design focus on employability?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD *3*AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How well did the syllabus create interest in you to pursue research in a particular topic?

5. VERY GOOD *4*GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How do you rate the programme with respect to developing your personality?

5. VERY GOOD *4*GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How do you rate the availability of electives in line with your interest?

5. VERY GOOD *4*GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How was the coverage of the syllabus in class?

5. VERY GOOD *4*GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How do you rate the adequacy of the library materials for the course?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD *3*AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How do you rate the quality and adequacy of the lab sessions?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD *3*AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How good was the student participation in class?

5. VERY GOOD *4*GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

Your suggestions to improve the curriculum

Since the course is conducted in online mode now, because of the pandemic, we are missing practicals and lab sessions. Occasional conduct of lab sessions at campus in offline mode is desirable.

FEEDBACK FORM
FOR DESIGN AND REVIEW OF SYLLABUS
QUESTIONNAIRES FOR TEACHERS

DEPARTMENT OF MARINE GEOLOGY AND GEOPHYSICS

Name of the teacher: Naveen . P. U.

Name of the Programme: Marine Geophysics.

How do you rate the curriculum structure in general?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How do you rate the syllabus in terms of balance between theory and application?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How do you rate the sequencing of the courses in the programme?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How much of the syllabus was covered in class?

100% 80 to 100% 60 to 80% less than 60%

To what extent the course objectives were achieved at the end of the semester?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How do you rate the library in terms of having sufficient material for teachers?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

Rate to what extent the courses you teach are intellectually stimulating to you?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

Rate your freedom to propose, modify, suggest and incorporate new topics in the syllabus?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How do you rate the course content in terms of the competencies expected by the industry?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How did the curriculum design focus on employability?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

How do you rate the quality and adequacy of the lab sessions?

5. VERY GOOD 4 GOOD 3 AVERAGE 2 POOR 1 VERY POOR

Your suggestions to improve the curriculum Field work sessions should be increased.

Signature of the Teacher: 